

Book reviews for the journal *Anthropology in Action* Notes for reviewers

Thank you for offering to review a book for *Anthropology in Action*. The journal is read by a wide constituency that includes students and academic staff in departments of anthropology and in other departments that include anthropological teaching, and by people who work in other related areas or those who apply anthropological concepts and research in their work. We would be grateful if you would take into account the following points when preparing your manuscript for submission:

- Reviews should be headed in the following style: ***Natural Enemies. People-wildlife conflicts. Anthropological perspectives* John Knight. (ed), London: Routledge, (EASA series) 2000, ISBN: 0-415-22441-1. 259pp. Hb. £... Pb. £...**
- It would be helpful if you could include an overview of the contents and perspective of the book, critical comment and perhaps suggestions about readership e.g. introductory, aimed at specialists etc.
- Reviews should try to introduce a critical perspective on the book reviewed. In particular, we encourage reviewers to think about producing reviews which compare two books on similar or related topics. We would like to avoid producing 'book report' style reviews which offer little to the academic reader beyond description. If you require examples of completed reviews, please let me know.
- The review should also consider the book in light of the journal's readership, in particular thinking through the policy-relevance and applied dimensions of the text. Think what the audience of *Anthropology in Action* would be interested in knowing about the book you are reading.
- Review length varies depending on how many books you review and whether it is an edited collection or sole-authored. For a simple review of a monograph, I advise that you do not exceed 600 words in your review, while a review of an edited collection should be about 750 words. Extended reviews, reviewing more than one book, can be up to 1200 words. If you feel that you need more space, please consult me before writing the review.

For 'To see ourselves as others see us' review authors: For this feature, non-anthropologists review anthropological books and other works, and discuss the contribution they make in the light of their own perspectives and fields. These reviews are intended to provide broad perspectives on the reception and contribution of applied anthropological work outside the discipline itself. Authors should follow the general review guidelines; in addition, we ask you specifically to address how you perceive the anthropological theory, approach, methods, findings and / or writing style of the work to contribute in your area. What, if any, distinctive insights do they provide? How effectively are they communicated to an audience beyond the discipline? The features editor is happy to discuss and advise, should you have questions about the best way to approach this.

Reviews should conform to Berghahn House Style:

- All quotations should give a page reference. Single quote marks should be used throughout.
- Please include a brief biographical note about yourself to accompany the review.
- Please submit your material in good time to meet any agreed deadline.

Please send your review, preferably by email, to:

Dr David Orr
Department of Social Work & Social Care
University of Sussex
Brighton BN1 9QQ
United Kingdom

Email: d.orr@sussex.ac.uk