

Berghahn Journals: *Journal of Legal Anthropology* **Publication Ethics and Publication Misconduct Statement**

We are committed to maintaining the highest ethical standards and this document outlines our general Ethics and Misconduct policies across all our journals. We use the foundational recommendations of the [Committee of Publication Ethics \(COPE\)](#) and [COPE Core Practices](#). For more detail or for specific questions with regard to individual journals please contact the [Journals Manager](#).

Editors' responsibilities

Publication decisions: As is usual, editors are independently responsible from the Publisher for making publication decisions for scholarly journals. Journal editors evaluate manuscripts, accordingly, to the required levels of scholarship. It goes without saying that this is done professionally. Details of personal factors such as authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship are not sought and will not be considered. Decisions on publication are based on the paper's contribution to the field, its originality and clarity, and its relevance to the journal's aims and scope.

Peer Review: Berghahn journals generally use double blind reviewing, in which all parties remain anonymous throughout the process. Authors should take steps to remove identifying factors. Authors should be aware that anonymity is not always possible in these research fields, even with the removal of such factors. Research articles are typically reviewed by at least two external and independent Reviewers, in double blind processes and go through internal reviews. There are exceptions to blind review processes, for instance, where reviewers waive anonymity. As usual best practice, reviewers are selected on the basis of their expertise in the relevant fields.

Confidentiality: Materials submitted to the journal as well as all communications with Reviewers are held in confidence, with the editorial teams and others associated with the submissions. It is limited to these groups unless otherwise agreed with the relevant Authors and Reviewers.

Disclosure and conflicts of interest: The usual rights of unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted paper remain with the author. Thus, such material are not usable by anyone having access for their own research purposes without the Author's explicit written consent. Any conflicts of interest such as competitive, collaborative, or other conflictual relationships/connections with any of the Authors, Reviewers or institutions associated with the manuscripts should be declared to the Publisher.

Retractions, Corrections and Expressions of Concern: Editors of each journal will consider retractions, corrections or expressions of concern in line with [COPE's Retraction Guidelines](#).

Reviewers' responsibilities

Contribution to Editorial Decisions: Journals benefit from peer-review. This established practice enhances both editorial decision-making and the author's revision process.

Confidentiality: While submissions may be confidential documents, the processes of evaluations and reviews cannot guarantee same; However, every effort is to be made to retain all possible confidentiality.

Standards: Reviews are expected to be conducted in scholarly language. Reviewers will have access to the usual peer review guidelines to provide their reviews. As relevant, reviewers should indicate any instances where the submission under review departs from referencing conventions: this may be to highlight the lack of sources or inadequacy of attributing sources.

Disclosure and conflict of interest: Under the usual scholarly peer review processes, where privileged information or ideas are obtained through peer review processes, Reviewers are kept to the obligations of not using material for any personal advantage. They are kept to maintaining confidentiality issues. Reviewers approached to review manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest arising from competitive, collaborative, or other conflictual relationships/ connections with any of the authors or institutions associated with the manuscripts should decline the invitation to review/ remove themselves from the review process.

Authors' responsibilities

Originality and acknowledgement of sources: Only original works will be considered. The usual scholarly conventions of attribution of works cited apply. Referencing style should follow the journals' published guidelines.

Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication: Authors submitting an original paper to a journal should not have the paper under consideration with any other journal at that time.. Any copyrighted materials must have all of the necessary permissions cleared.

Authorship of the paper: The usual scholarly conventions of authorship applies i.e., authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All Authors who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors.

Disclosure and conflicts of interest: All Authors should include in their submission a clear statement disclosing any substantive conflicts of interest that may be interpreted as influencing the research included in the manuscript. Grants disclosed for the project should include any relevant project or funding reference numbers.

Fundamental errors in published works: If an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in their own published work, the author is obliged to promptly notify the journal Editor(s) or Publisher and to cooperate with the Editor(s) to retract or correct the paper in form of an erratum.

Copyright: All authors must sign an author agreement before publication. Some journals ask authors to transfer their copyright to the journal, or society. Others accept a License to Publish from the authors, whereby the author retains ownership to the rights. Authors who publish in Open Access journals retain copyright and must sign the appropriate CC BY license.

Use of Inclusive Language: Authors are expected to avoid language in their submitted manuscripts which can impact on inclusion and diversity principles. Of note: Inclusive language avoids the use of certain expressions or words that may be considered to exclude specific groups of people. Such language acknowledges diversity, conveys respect to all people, is sensitive to differences and promotes equal opportunities.

Image Integrity: It is not acceptable to obscure, move, or introduce a specific feature within an image. However, identities of certain parties shown in an image may be obscured as and when an Author and Editor agree it is necessary (e.g. for anonymizing a source). Exceptions are also noted where images are part of the research under discussion, for example, where collages are presented; this should be with any due acknowledgements of how any such images would have been developed.

Publisher's responsibilities

Publisher and Editors, to the extent this is possible, shall take reasonable steps to identify and prevent the publication of papers where research misconduct had occurred. The Publishers are always willing to publish corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed.

Integrity of Record: The Publisher maintains a record of the existence of everything published with information (metadata) describing each publication.

Conflict of Interest: The Publisher follows best practices as outlined in the COPE flowcharts linked below with regard to [suspected conflict of interest in a submitted manuscript](#) and [suspected conflict in a published article](#).

Metrics, Usage and Reporting: The Publisher ensures that its reporting of content usage remains compliant with the industry standards and the [COUNTER Code of Practice](#). The Publisher may partner with third parties, including commercial services, to provide users with metrics to illustrate the impact and reception of content.